

## Recap

→ Data-processing inequality: For any quantum channel  $N$ ,

$$D(\rho \parallel \sigma) \geq D(N(\rho) \parallel N(\sigma)) \quad (*)$$

→ Isometric invariance:  $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = D(V\rho V^\dagger \parallel V\sigma V^\dagger)$  for all isometries  $V$

→ Since any channel can be written as  $N(x) = \text{tr}_B VxV^\dagger$  for an isometry  $V$ ,

claim (\*) follows from  $D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \sigma_{AB}) \geq D(\rho_A \parallel \sigma_A)$

→ Main proof tools:

→ relative modular operators  $\Delta^{X,Y} := X \cdot Y^{-1}$

→ operator convexity of  $\eta(t) = t \log t$  and operator Jensen's inequality:

$f$  op. convex,  $V$  isometry:  $f(V^\dagger A V) \leq V^\dagger f(A) V$

→ Define  $\Delta_{AB} = \rho_{AB} \cdot \sigma_{AB}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta_A = \rho_A \cdot \sigma_A^{-1}$ , then:

$$D(\rho_* \parallel \sigma_*) = \langle \sigma_*^{\eta/2}, \eta(\Delta_*) (\sigma_*^{\eta/2}) \rangle \text{ for } * = AB, A$$

→ The map  $V: A \rightarrow AB$ ,  $V(X_A) = (X_A \sigma_A^{-\eta/2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) \sigma_{AB}^{\eta/2}$  satisfies:

→  $V^\dagger V = \text{id}_A$  (isometry)

→  $V(\sigma_A^{\eta/2}) = \sigma_{AB}^{\eta/2}$

→  $V^\dagger \Delta_{AB} V = \Delta_A \Rightarrow \eta(\Delta_A) = \eta(V^\dagger \Delta_{AB} V) \leq V^\dagger \eta(\Delta_{AB}) V$

## 2. Entropies and equality in data-processing

### § 2.1 Entropic quantities

Entropies are fundamental quantities in information theory

a) von Neumann entropy:  $S(\rho) = -\text{tr} \rho \log \rho = -D(\rho \| \mathbb{1})$

$$S(A)_\rho = S(\rho_A) \text{ where } \rho_A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$$

is a quantum state

Operational interpretations: - Source / data compression

- entanglement conversion of pure states

**Prop 11**

i)  $0 \leq S(A)_\rho \leq \log |A|$

$$S(A)_\rho = 0 \text{ iff } \rho_A \text{ is pure, } = \log |A| \text{ iff } \rho_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A$$

ii) Concavity:  $S(\sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i) \geq \sum_i \lambda_i S(\rho_i)$

iii) Strong subadditivity:  $\forall \rho_{ABC} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)$

$$S(ABC) + S(C) \leq S(AC) + S(BC) \quad (A \leftrightarrow B \leftrightarrow C)$$

$\Leftrightarrow$  weak monotonicity:

$$S(A) + S(B) \leq S(AC) + S(BC)$$

$|C|=1$ :  $S(AB) \leq S(A) + S(B)$  (subadditivity)

iv) Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a unital quantum channel ( $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$ ).

Then,  $S(\rho) \leq S(\mathcal{N}(\rho))$  for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$ .

In particular, let  $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a projective measurement,

$$\left( \pi_i \geq 0, \pi_i \pi_j = \delta_{ij} \pi_i, \sum_i \pi_i = \mathbb{1} \right)$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{N}(X) = \sum_i \pi_i X \pi_i \Rightarrow S(\rho) \leq S(\mathcal{N}(\rho))$$

For example,  $S(\rho) \leq S(\text{diag}(\rho))$

Proof: i)  $\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle\langle i|$  spectral decomposition

$$S(\rho) = - \sum_i \lambda_i \log \lambda_i \quad \lambda_i \in [0, 1]$$

$$\Rightarrow S(\rho) \geq 0$$

$$S(\rho) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists i_0: \lambda_{i_0} = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \rho = |i_0\rangle\langle i_0|$$

$$S(\rho) \leq \log |A| : \quad \underline{D(\rho_A \parallel \frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A)} = \text{tr}_{\rho_A} \left( \log \rho_A - \underbrace{\log \frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A}_{-\log |A| \cdot \mathbb{1}} \right)$$

$$= -S(\rho_A) + \log |A| \geq 0$$

$$D(\rho_A \parallel \frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A) = 0 \quad \text{iff } \rho_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A$$

will be proved later!

$$ii) S(\sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i) \geq \sum_i \lambda_i S(\rho_i):$$

$$S(\sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i) = -D(\sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i \| \mathbb{1}) = -D(\sum_i \lambda_i \rho_i \| \sum_i \lambda_i \mathbb{1})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{joint conv.}}{\geq} \sum_i \lambda_i \underbrace{(-D(\rho_i \| \mathbb{1}))}_{= S(\rho_i)} \quad \checkmark$$

Prop 6(v)

$$iii) \text{ to show: } S(ABC) + S(C) \leq S(AC) + S(BC)$$

$$D(\rho_{ABC} \| \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}) = \text{tr } \rho_{ABC} (\log \rho_{ABC} - \log (\rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC})) \quad (*)$$

$$\text{if } [X, Y] = 0, \text{ then } \log(XY) = \log X + \log Y$$

$$\text{for } \rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC} = (\rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{BC}) (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \rho_{BC}):$$

$$\log(\rho_A \otimes \rho_{BC}) = \log(\rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{BC}) + \log(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \rho_{BC})$$

$$= (\log \rho_A) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{BC} + \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \log \rho_{BC}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{substitute in } (*): \dots &= \underbrace{\text{tr } \rho_{ABC} \log \rho_{ABC}}_{= -S(ABC)} - \underbrace{\text{tr } \rho_{ABC} (\log \rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{BC})}_{S(A)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\text{tr } \rho_{ABC} (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \log \rho_{BC})}_{S(BC)} \\ &= -S(ABC) + S(A) + S(BC) \end{aligned}$$

$$D(\rho_{ABC} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_B) = -S(ABC) + S(A) + S(B)$$

$$\geq \quad (\text{by data-processing w.r.t. } \text{tr}_B)$$

$$D(\rho_{AC} \parallel \rho_A \otimes \rho_C) = -S(AC) + S(A) + S(C)$$

weak monotonicity:  $S(A) + S(B) \leq S(AC) + S(BC)$  (\*\*)

$\rho_{ABC} \rightarrow$  purification  $|\psi\rangle_{ABCD}$

By Schmidt decomposition,  $\rho_B$  and  $\rho_{ACD}$  have the same spectrum

$$\Rightarrow S(B) = S(ACD)$$

same for  $S(C) = S(AD)$

use in (\*\*):  $S(A) + S(ACD) \leq S(AC) + S(AD)$

which is SSA for the state  $\rho_{ACD}$

$$S(ABC) + S(C) \leq S(AC) + S(BC) \stackrel{|C|=1}{\Rightarrow} S(AB) \leq S(A) + S(B)$$

(subadditivity)

iv)  $N$  unital ( $N(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1}$ ):

$$S(\rho) = -D(\rho \parallel \mathbb{1}) \leq -D(N(\rho) \parallel \underbrace{N(\mathbb{1})}_{=\mathbb{1}}) = S(N(\rho))$$

□

$$b) \text{ Conditional entropy: } S(A|B)_\rho = S(AB)_\rho - S(B)_\rho$$

$$= -D(\rho_{AB} \parallel \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \rho_B)$$

Operational interpretations: - source compression with side information  
- state merging

**Prop 12** i) Conditioning reduces entropy:  $S(A|B) \leq S(A)$

ii) Duality relation: let  $\rho_{AB}$  have purification  $|\psi\rangle_{ABC}$ , then

$$S(A|B)_\rho = -S(A|C)_\rho$$

iii)  $-\log |A| \leq S(A|B) \leq \log |A|$  ( $\pi_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \mathbb{1}_A$ )

$\nearrow$  saturated for  $\phi_{AB}^+$        $\nwarrow$  satur. for  $\pi_A \otimes \omega_B$

iv) Data-processing:  $S(A|BC) \leq S(A|B)$  (SSA)

v) Weak monotonicity / monogamy of entanglement:

$$S(A|B) + S(A|C) \geq 0$$

("cannot be too entangled with two parties at the same time")

vi) Classical conditioning: let  $\rho_{XA} = \sum_x p_x |x\rangle\langle x|_X \otimes \rho_A^x$ , then

$$S(A|X) = \sum_x p_x S(A)_{\rho_A^x}$$

vii) Concavity: for  $\bar{\rho} = \sum_i \lambda_i \rho_{AB}^i$ :  $S(A|B)_{\bar{\rho}} \geq \sum_i \lambda_i S(A|B)_{\rho^i}$

Proof: i)  $S(A|B) \leq S(A) \Leftrightarrow S(AB) - S(B) \leq S(A) \quad (SA)$

ii)  $S(A|B) = -S(A|C)$  for a state  $|B\rangle_{ABC}$  purifying  $\rho_{AB}$

by Schmidt decomposition:  $S(AB) = S(C)$

$S(B) = S(AC)$

$S(A|B) = S(AB) - S(B) = S(C) - S(AC) = -S(A|C)$

iii)  $-\log|A| \leq S(A|B) \Leftrightarrow \log|A|$

$S(A|B) \stackrel{(\cdot)}{\leq} S(A) \stackrel{\text{Prop 11(i)}}{\leq} \log|A|$

$S(A|B) = -S(A|C) \quad (C \text{ purifies } \rho_{AB})$

$\geq -S(A)$

$\geq -\log|A|$

$S(A|B) = \log|A| : \quad \rho_{AB} = \pi_A \otimes \omega_B, \quad S(A|B) = S(AB) - S(B)$

$= S(A)_\pi + S(B)_\omega - S(B)_\omega$

$= \log|A|$

$d = |A| \leq |B|$



$S(A|B) = -\log|A| :$

$\rho_{AB} = \phi_{AB}^+$

$|\phi^+\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_i |i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B$

$\text{tr}_A \phi_{AB}^+ = \pi_{B'}, \quad \text{where } |B'| = d$

$S(A|B) = \underbrace{S(AB)}_{=0} - \underbrace{S(B)}_{=\log d} = -\log|A|.$