

## Lecture 34: Generalized eigenspaces and multiplicities of eigenvalues

Last time: Generalized eigenvectors and nilpotent operators

Goal for today: For every operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ ,  $V$  has a basis consisting of generalized eigenvectors of  $T$ .

Prop Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$  be an operator on an arbitrary VS  $V$ .

i)  $V = \ker T^n \oplus \operatorname{im} T^n$  where  $n = \dim V$ .

ii) For every polynomial  $p \in P(\mathbb{F})$ , the subspaces  $\ker p(T)$  and  $\operatorname{im} p(T)$  are invariant under  $T$ .

Proof: i) Let  $v \in \ker T^n \cap \operatorname{im} T^n$ :

then  $T^n(v) = 0$ , and there exists  $u \in V$  s.t.  $v = T^n(u)$ .

$$\Rightarrow T^n(v) = 0 = T^n(T^n(u)) = T^{2n}(u)$$

$$\Rightarrow u \in \ker T^{2n} = \ker T^n \quad (\text{by Prop 8.4}),$$

which means  $T^n(u) = v = 0 \Rightarrow \ker T^n \cap \operatorname{im} T^n = \{0\}$ .

$$\text{hence, } \ker T^n + \operatorname{im} T^n = \ker T^n \oplus \operatorname{im} T^n$$

by the dimension formula for linear maps,  $\dim V = \dim \ker T^n + \dim \operatorname{im} T^n$

$$\Rightarrow V = \ker T^n \oplus \operatorname{im} T^n.$$

ii) for a polynomial  $p = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_dx^d$ , we have

$$p(T) = a_0I_V + a_1T + \dots + a_dT^d \in \mathcal{L}(V)$$

Let  $v \in \ker p(T)$ , then  $p(T)(v) = 0$ , and

$$p(T)(T(v)) = T(p(T)(v)) = T(0) = 0 \Rightarrow T(v) \in \ker p(T).$$

Let  $v \in \operatorname{im} p(T)$ , then there is  $u \in V$  with  $p(T)(u) = v$ .

$$\text{Then } T(v) = T(p(T)(u)) = p(T)(T(u)) \in \operatorname{im} p(T). \quad \square$$

**Prop 8.21** Let  $V$  be a VS over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  an operator with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then:

i)  $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$

ii) Each  $G(\lambda_i, T)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

iii) Each  $(T - \lambda_i I_V)|_{G(\lambda_i, T)}$  is nilpotent.

iv)  $V$  has a basis consisting of generalized eigenvectors of  $T$ .

Proof: ii) follows from the above Prop. (ii) with  $p(z) = (z - \lambda_j)^n$ ,  
since  $G(\lambda_j, T) = \ker (T - \lambda_j I_V)^n$ ,  $n = \dim V$  (Prop 8.11).

iii) easy consequence of  $G(\lambda_j, T) = \ker (T - \lambda_j I)^n$

iv) follows easily from i) (choose bases for each  $G(\lambda_j, T)$ )

i) induction on  $n = \dim V$ .

$n=1$ : there is nothing to prove.

let now  $n > 1$ . Since  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  is an operator over a complex VS,

$T$  has eigenvalues, and  $m \geq 1$  (# distinct eigenvalues)

Setting  $G(\lambda_1, T) = \ker(T - \lambda_1 I_V)^m$  and  $U = \text{im}(T - \lambda_1 I_V)^m$ ,

we have  $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus U$  by previous Prop (i)

and  $U$  is invariant under  $T$  by Prop (ii).

Since  $\dim G(\lambda_1, T) \geq 1$ , we have  $\dim U < n = \dim V$ .

$\Rightarrow$  use induction hypothesis for  $U$  and  $T|_U$ :

$$U = G(\lambda_2, T|_U) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T|_U),$$

where  $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  are the rest of the distinct eigenvalues

$$\Rightarrow V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus G(\lambda_2, T|_U) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T|_U)$$

to show:  $G(\lambda_j, T|_U) = G(\lambda_j, T)$

⊆ clear that  $G(\lambda_j, T|_U) \subseteq G(\lambda_j, T)$  ✓

⊇ let  $v \in G(\lambda_j, T) \subseteq V$ , then there are  $v_1 \in G(\lambda_1, T)$  and  $u \in U$ ,

$$\text{s.t. } v = v_1 + u.$$

$\Rightarrow$  there are  $v_2, \dots, v_m$ ,  $v_i \in G(\lambda_i, T|_U) \subseteq G(\lambda_i, T)$  with

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_m$$

$\Rightarrow$  there are  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ ,  $v_i \in G(\lambda_i, T|_U) \subseteq G(\lambda_i, T)$  with  
 $G(\lambda_j, T) \ni v = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_m$

$$0 = v_1 + \dots + v_{j-1} + (v_j - v) + \dots + v_m$$

the  $v_i$  are either 0 or linearly independent (because they are from generalized eigenspaces corresp. to distinct eigenvalues), and so in particular,  $v_1 = 0$ .

$$v = v_1 + u = u \in U = G(\lambda_2, T|_U) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T|_U)$$

Since  $v \in G(\lambda_j, T)$ , we have  $v \in G(\lambda_j, T|_U)$

$$\Rightarrow G(\lambda_j, T) \subseteq G(\lambda_j, T|_U)$$

$$\Rightarrow G(\lambda_j, T) = G(\lambda_j, T|_U). \quad \square$$

### Multiplicities of eigenvalues

**Def. 8.24** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then the multiplicity of an eigenvalue

$\lambda$  of  $T$  is defined as  $\dim G(\lambda, T) = \dim \ker (T - \lambda I_V)^n$ ,

where  $n = \dim V$ .

**Prop 8.26** Let  $V$  be a complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ .

Then the sum of the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of  $T$  is equal to the dimension of  $V$ .

Proof: Follows immediately from Prop 8.27 i).

Remark: The following terminology is very common:

algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ :  $\dim Q(\lambda, T)$   
 $= \dim \ker (T - \lambda I_V)^n$

geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ :  $\dim \text{Eig}(\lambda, T)$   
 $= \dim \ker (T - \lambda I_V)$

multiplicity from Def 8.29  $\leftrightarrow$  algebraic multiplicity.

**Prop 8.29** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$   
of multiplicities  $d_1, \dots, d_m$  (i.e.,  $d_1 + \dots + d_m = \dim V$ )

Then  $V$  has a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  w.r.t. which  $T$  has the following

matrix representation:

$$M(T) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A_1 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & A_2 & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & & \ddots & \\ \hline & & & A_m \end{array} \right)$$

where each  $A_j$  is a  $d_j \times d_j$ -matrix of the form

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{upper-triangular})$$

Proof: Let  $V = G(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\lambda_m, T)$

Each  $(T - \lambda_j I_V)|_{G(\lambda_j, T)}$  is nilpotent  $\left( (T - \lambda_j I_V)^n \right)|_{G(\lambda_j, T)} = 0$   
for  $n = \dim V$ ,

so by Prop 8.19 there exists a basis  $B_j$  for  $G(\lambda_j, T)$  s.t.

$$\mathcal{M}\left( (T - \lambda_j I_V)|_{G(\lambda_j, T)} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then w.r.t. the same basis  $B_j$ , we have  $(G = G(\lambda_j, T))$

$$\mathcal{M}(T|_G) = \mathcal{M}\left( (T - \lambda_j I_V)|_G \right) + \mathcal{M}(\lambda_j I_V|_G)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix} = A_j$$

Merging all  $B_j$ 's into one basis for  $V$  gives the desired result.  $\square$