

Lecture 6: Subspaces

Last time: vector spaces (definition, examples, properties)

Def 1.32 A subset $U \subseteq V$ of a vector space V is called a subspace, denoted by $U \leq V$, if $(U, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space, where $+$ and \cdot are the operations in V restricted to $U \subseteq V$.

Examples: $\rightarrow \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \leq \mathbb{F}^3$

\rightarrow Let $P_k(\mathbb{F})$ be the vector space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Then $P_\ell(\mathbb{F}) \leq P_k(\mathbb{F})$ for all $0 \leq \ell \leq k$.

\rightarrow Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ be fixed. Then the hyperplane defined by the linear equation $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = 0$ is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n :

$$\left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}^n : a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = 0 \right\} \leq \mathbb{F}^n.$$

Prop 1.34 Conditions for a subspace

$U \subseteq V$ is a subspace of V if and only if:

- i) $0_V \in U$ ($0_U = 0_V$) ii) $u, v \in U \rightarrow u+v \in U$ iii) $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}, u \in U \rightarrow \alpha u \in U$

Proof: (\Rightarrow) $U \subseteq V$ means that U is a vector space

\Rightarrow ii), iii)

for i) for any $u \in U$, $0 = u + (-u) \in U \Rightarrow$ by Prop 7.25,
 \uparrow $\underbrace{-u}_{\in U}$ $0_U = 0_V$.

(\Leftarrow) ii), iii) $\Rightarrow U$ is closed under $+$ and \cdot .

(V3): Inverses for $+$: $u \in U \Rightarrow -u = (-1)u \in U$ by (iii)
 $\underbrace{-1}_{\text{add. inverse of } 1 \in \mathbb{F}}$

\Rightarrow every $u \in U$ has an additive inverse.

(V2): satisfied, because $0_V \in U$ by (i).

(V1), (V4), (S1), (S2), (D1), (D2) hold in V , and therefore

in particular for $U \subseteq V$. $\Rightarrow U$ is a vector space
by Def. 7.19

$\Rightarrow U \subseteq V$

\square

Sums of vector spaces

Def 7.36 Let V be a vector space, and $U_1, \dots, U_m \subseteq V$ subsets.

Then we define their sum as $U_1 + \dots + U_m = \left\{ u_1 + \dots + u_m : u_i \in U_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m. \right\}$

Prop 1.39 Let $U_i \leq V$ be subspaces of V , $1 \leq i \leq m$, then also $\sum_{i=1}^m U_i \leq V$ is a subspace, and it is the smallest subspace of V that contains all U_i 's as subspaces.

Proof: $\sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ is clearly closed under addition and scalar multiplication, since each U_i is a subspace ($u, v \in U_i \Rightarrow u+v \in U_i$).
 \Rightarrow ii), iii) in Prop 1.34 are satisfied. $a \in \mathbb{F}, u \in U_i \Rightarrow au \in U_i$.

For i), note that $0 \in U_i$ for all $i=1, \dots, m$, and hence

$$0 = 0 + \dots + 0 \in \sum_{i=1}^m U_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^m U_i \leq V \text{ by Prop 1.34.}$$

We have $U_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ for all j , because

$$U_j \ni u_j = 0 + \dots + 0 + u_j + 0 + \dots + 0 \in \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$$

Moreover, let $W \leq V$ such that $U_j \leq W$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Then $\sum_{i=1}^m U_i \leq W$, since W is closed under addition as

a vector space. $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ is the smallest subspace of V

containing all U_i 's. \square

Direct sums

If $U_1, \dots, U_m \subseteq V$, then by definition we can write every $u \in \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ as $u = u_1 + \dots + u_m$ with $u_i \in U_i$ for all i .

Def 1.40 Direct sums

Let $U_1, \dots, U_m \subseteq V$ be subspaces of a vector space V . The sum $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is called a direct sum, denoted $U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_m$, if every element $u \in \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ can be written in a unique way as $u = u_1 + \dots + u_m$, where $u_i \in U_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Examples:

$$1) V = \mathbb{F}^3. \quad U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{F} \right\}, \quad W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ z \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

$$\text{then } \mathbb{F}^3 = U \oplus W.$$

$$2) U \text{ and } W \text{ as above, and } X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ y \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{F} \right\} :$$

Then $\mathbb{F}^3 = U + W + X$, but not as a direct sum:

$$\mathbb{F}^3 \ni \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\in U \quad \in W \quad \in X$

Prop 1.44 Suppose $U_1, \dots, U_m \leq V$

Then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum

\Leftrightarrow if $0 = u_1 + \dots + u_m$ with $u_i \in U_i$, then $u_i = 0$ for all i .

Proof: (\Rightarrow) clear, since $0 = 0 + \dots + 0$ ($0 \in U_i$ for all i)

(\Leftarrow) Let $u \in \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$, $u = u_1 + \dots + u_m = v_1 + \dots + v_m$, $u_i, v_i \in U_i$

$$0 = (u_1 - v_1) + (u_2 - v_2) + \dots + (u_m - v_m)$$

$\in U_1 \quad \in U_2 \quad \in U_m$

$\Rightarrow u_i - v_i = 0$ for all i by assumption $\Rightarrow u_i = v_i$ for all i

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m U_i$ is a direct sum. \square

Prop 1.45 Let $U, W \leq V$. Then $U + W = U \oplus W$ if and only if $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $v \in U \cap W \Rightarrow v \in U$, $-v = (-1)v \in W$

But now $0 = v + (-v)$ $\Rightarrow v = 0$ by Prop 1.44.
 $\in U \in W$

$\Rightarrow U \cap W = \{0\}$.

(\Leftarrow) Use Prop 1.44: assume $0 = u + w$ for some $u \in U$, $w \in W$.

$\Rightarrow u = -w \in W$ and hence $u \in U \cap W = \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow u = 0 = w \Rightarrow U + W = U \oplus W$ by Prop 1.44. \square

Caution: Prop 7.45 does not generalize to three or more summands!

See Ex. 2 above: $U \cap W = W \cap X = U \cap X = \{0\}$,

but $\mathbb{F}^3 = U + W + X$ is not a direct sum.